

# Further Characterization of $\omega$ –Order Reversing Partial Contraction Mapping as a Compact Semigroup of Linear Operator

A. Y. Akinyele<sup>1,\*</sup>, O. A. Uwaheren<sup>1</sup>, L. Aminu<sup>2</sup>, J. B. Omosowon<sup>3</sup>, B. Sambo<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Federal College of Fisheries and Marine Technology, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gombe State University, Gombe, Nigeria

\*Corresponding author email: olaakinyele04@gmail

**Abstract:** This paper consists of compact results on  $\omega$ –order reversing partial contraction mapping in semigroup of linear operator by giving special considerations to equicontinuous semigroups.

**Keywords:**  $\omega$ -order-reversing partial contraction mapping ( $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub>), equicontinuous semigroups,  $C_0$ -semigroup, compact semigroup.

## 1. Introduction

Compactness in semigroup of linear operator can not be underestimated in the theory of semigroup of linear operators because of its importance in  $C_0$ -semigroup since it lays emphasis on its closeness, linear and equicontinuous nature. Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space,  $X_n \subseteq X$  be a finite set,  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  the  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $\omega$ –ORCP<sub>n</sub> be  $\omega$ -order-reversing partial contraction mapping which is an example of  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $\omega$ –ORCP<sub>n</sub>  $\subseteq$  ORCP<sub>n</sub> (Order Reversing Partial Contraction Mapping). Let  $M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup 0)$  be a matrix,  $L(X)$  the bounded linear operator in  $X$ ,  $P_n$ , the partial transformation semigroup,  $\rho(A)$  a resolvent of  $A$ , where  $A$  is the generator of a semigroup of linear operator. This paper will focus on results of compactness on  $\omega$ –ORCP<sub>n</sub> in a semigroup of linear operator called  $C_0$ -semigroup.

Balakrishnan [1], proved fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroup generated by them. Banach [2], established and introduced the concept of Banach space. Engel and Nagel [3], established one-parameter semigroup for linear evolution equations. McBride [4], proved some semigroup of linear operators. Pazy [5], obtained some results on the differentiability and compactness of semigroup of linear operator. Rauf and Akinyele [6], obtained  $\omega$ -order-preserving partial contraction mapping and established its properties, also in [7], Rauf *et.al.* established some results of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator. Vrabie [8], introduced some compactness criteria in  $C(0, T; X)$  for subsets of solution of non-linear evolution equations governed by accretive operators. Vrabie [9], characterized new generators of linear compact semigroups and also deduced compactness method for nonlinear evolution-sin [10]. Furthermore, he obtained compactness in  $L^p$  of the set of solutions to linear evolution equation, qualitative problems for differential equations and control theory in [11]. In

[12], Vrabie established some results of  $C_0$ -semigroup and its applications. Yosida [13], established some results on differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroup of linear operators.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1** ( $C_0$ -Semigroup [12]) A  $C_0$ -Semigroup is a strongly continuous one parameter semigroup of bounded linear operator on Banach space.

**Definition 2** ( $\omega$ -ORCP<sub>n</sub> [6]) A transformation  $\alpha \in P_n$  is called  $\omega$ -order-reversing partial contraction mapping if  $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom} \alpha : x \leq y \implies \alpha x \geq \alpha y$  and at least one of its transformation must satisfy  $\alpha y = y$  such that  $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$  whenever  $t, s > 0$  and otherwise for  $T(0) = I$ .

**Definition 3** (Compact Semigroup [3]) A  $C_0$ -semigroup is compact if for each  $t > 0$ ,  $T(t)$  is a compact operator.

**Definition 4** (Equicontinuous [12]) A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is equicontinuous if the function  $t \rightarrow T(t)$  is continuous from  $(0, +\infty)$  to  $L(X)$  endowed with the uniform operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$ .

**Example 1:**  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$ . Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & - \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA}$ , then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^{2t} \\ e^{2t} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2:**  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})]$ . Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA}$ , then

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t} & e^{2t} & e^t \\ e^{2t} & e^{2t} & e^t \\ e^{3t} & e^{2t} & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 3:**  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $[M_m(\mathbb{C})]$ , we have for each  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  where  $\rho(A)$  is a resolvent set on  $X$ . Suppose we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $T(t) = e^{tA\lambda}$ , then

$$e^{tA\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{3t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{t\lambda} \\ e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{t\lambda} \\ e^{3t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} & e^{2t\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 4:** Take  $X$  to be one of the the sequence space  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  or  $C_0$ . For every sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ , the multiplication operator  $A(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (i\alpha_n x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with maximal domain generates a semigroup of isometries on  $X$ ; since each canonical basis vector is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $i\alpha_n$ , it follows that the strong operator closure of multiplication semigroup  $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$  with

$$T(t)(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (e^{i\alpha_n t} x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is strongly compact semigroup.

**Theorem 1:** A linear operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is the infinitesimal generator for a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction if and only if

- i.  $A$  is densely defined and closed,
- ii.  $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$  and for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

### 3. Main Results

In this section, results of equicontinuous and compact semigroup on  $\omega$ -ORCP $_n$  in semigroup of linear operator ( $C_0$ -semigroup) were considered:

**Theorem 2:** Suppose  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions,  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  where  $A \in \omega$ -ORCP $_n$ . Then  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is equicontinuous if and only if, for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - t/nA)^{-n} = T(t)$$

in the usual sup-norm topology of  $C([\alpha, 1/\alpha]; L(X))$ .

*Proof:* To prove the theorem, we need to assert that for each  $a \in (0, 1)$  and each  $b \in (1, +\infty)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^a (ve^{-v})^n dv = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_b^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv = 0. \quad (2)$$

We need to show that Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) converge accordingly. Since  $t \rightarrow te^{-t}$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, 1]$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^a (ve^{-v})^n dv \leq a(ae^{-a})^n.$$

On the other hand,  $ve^{-v} < e^{-1}$  for each  $v > 0$ ,  $v \neq 1$ , and accordingly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} vn(ve^{-v}e)^n = 0 \quad (3)$$

for each  $v > 0$ ,  $v \neq 1$ . Observing that, from Stirling's formula  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n} n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}} = 1$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^n e^{-n}}{n!} = 0, \quad (4)$$

we btain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^a (ve^{-v})^n dv \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} an(ae^{-a}e)^n \frac{n^n e^{-n}}{n!} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which proves Eq. (1) in the assertion. Let us observe that

$$\frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_b^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv = e^{-nb} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(nb)^k}{k!} \quad (5)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $b \geq 0$ . Assume  $b > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{(nb)^k}{k!} \leq \frac{(nb)^n}{n!}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , and accordingly, the last relation implies

$$\frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_b^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv \leq (n+1)(be^{-b})^n \frac{n^n}{n!}.$$

Consequently, from Eq. (3) and Eq. (4), its follows that

$$\frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_b^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv \leq (n+1)(be^{-b})^n \frac{n^n}{n!} = 0,$$

which proves Eq. (2) in the assertion. Then, let  $A \in \omega$ -ORCP $_n$ , where  $(A, D(A))$  is the genertor of  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions, let  $\lambda > 0$  and

$$R(\lambda; A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}.$$

Then the mapping  $\lambda \rightarrow R(\lambda; A)$  is of class  $C^\infty$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  and for each  $x \in X$ , each  $t > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n-1}x - T(t)x &= \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n [T(tv)x \\ &\quad - T(t)x] dv, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

we need to show that Eq. (6) holds, by the relationship between a semigroup and its resolvent [see Rauf *et.al.*] [7]], we have

$$R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} T(s)x ds. \quad (7)$$

It follows that  $\lambda \rightarrow R(\lambda; A)$  is analytic from  $(0, +\infty)$  to  $L(X)$ , and differentiating  $n$ -times both sides in Eq. (6) with respect to  $\lambda$  and putting  $s = vt$ , we obtain

$$(R(\lambda; A))^{(n)}x = (-1)^n t^{n+1} \int_0^{+\infty} v^n e^{-\lambda tv} T(tv) x dv$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . On the other hand,

$$(R(\lambda; A))^{(n)} = (-1)^n n! R(\lambda; A)^{n+1},$$

and so substituting  $\lambda = \frac{n}{t}$  in the relations above, we have

$$[(\frac{n}{t} R(\frac{n}{t}; A))^{n+1}]x = \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n T(tv) x dv.$$

Taking  $b = 0$  in Eq. (5), we conclude that

$$\frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv = 1$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Therefore Eq. (6) holds. Next is to show that  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is equicontinuous for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and fix  $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$ . Since  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is equicontinuous, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|T(t) - T(s)\|_{L(X)} \leq \epsilon$$

for each  $t, s \in [\beta, 1/\beta]$  with  $|t - s| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ . On the other hand, for the very same  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $a = a(\epsilon)$  and  $b = b(\epsilon)$  with  $0 < a < 1 < b < +\infty$  and such that, for each  $t \in [\alpha, 1/\alpha]$  and  $v \in [a, b]$ , we have  $tv \in [\beta, 1/\beta]$  and  $|t - tv| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ . So

$$\|T(tv) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq \epsilon \quad (8)$$

for each  $t \in [\alpha, 1/\alpha]$ , and  $v \in [a, b]$ . From both Eq. (6) and Eq. (8), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n-1} - T(t)\|_{L(X)} &\leq 2 \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^a (ve^{-v})^n dv \\ &+ \epsilon \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^a (ve^{-v})^n dv + 2 \frac{n^{n+1}}{n!} \int_b^{+\infty} (ve^{-v})^n dv \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . By the earlier assertions in the proof, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \| (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n-1} - T(t) \|_{L(X)} \leq \epsilon$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . Consequently, for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n-1} = T(t) \quad (9)$$

in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$ , uniformly for  $t \in [\alpha, 1/\alpha]$ . In order to conclude the proof, we need to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n+1}A)^{-n-1} = T(t) \quad (10)$$

in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$ , uniformly for  $t \in [\alpha, 1/\alpha]$ . From Eq. (9) we deduce that, for each sequence  $(a_n)_n \in \mathbb{N}$  of functions

from  $\mathbb{N}^* \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = t$  uniformly on each compact subset in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{a_n(t)}{n}A)^{-n-1} = T(t)$$

in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$ , uniformly on each compact set in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ . This simply follows from the fact that, for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the set of functions  $\{t \rightarrow (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n-1}; n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  is equicontinuous on  $[\alpha, 1/\alpha]$  because it is relatively compact in the space  $C([\alpha, 1/\alpha]; L(X))$ . Taking  $a_n(t) = \frac{nt}{n+1}$ , we obtain Eq. (10). Sufficiently by Eq. (6) it follows that  $t \rightarrow (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n}$  is continuous from  $(0, +\infty)$  to  $L(X)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$ . Since for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n} = T(t)$$

in the usual sup-norm topology of  $C([\alpha, 1/\alpha]; L(X))$ , it follows that the semigroup is continuous from  $(0, +\infty)$  to  $L(X)$ , that is equicontinuous which complete the proof. ■

**Theorem 3:** Let  $(A, D(A))$  be the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contraction  $\{T(t); t \leq 0\}$ , where  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Then  $\{T(t); t \leq 0\}$  is compact if and only if

(i)  $\{T(t); t \leq 0\}$  is equicontinuous, and

(ii) for each  $\lambda > 0$ , the operator  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  is compact.

*Proof:* Suppose  $\{T(t); t \leq 0\}$  is a compact  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions, let  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\lambda > 0$  be such that  $t \rightarrow \lambda > 0$ . Then, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite family  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k(\epsilon)\}$  in  $B(0, 1)$  such that, for each  $x \in B(0, 1)$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k(\epsilon)\}$  with

$$\|T(t - \lambda)x - T(t - \lambda)x_i\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since the family  $\{T(\cdot)x_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, k(\epsilon)\}$  of continuous function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $X$  is finite, it is equicontinuous at  $t$ . Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\epsilon) \in (0, \lambda)$ , such that

$$\|T(t + h)x_i - T(t)x_i\| \leq \epsilon$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k(\epsilon)$ , and each  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|h| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t + h)x - T(t)x\| &\leq \|T(t + h)x - T(t + h)x_i\| \\ &+ \|T(t + h)x_i - T(t)x_i\| + \|T(t)x_i - T(t)x\| \\ &= \|T(\lambda + h)(T(t - \lambda)x - T(t - \lambda)x_i)\| \\ &+ \|T(t + h)x_i - T(t)x_i\| + \|T(\lambda)(T(t - \lambda)x_i - T(t - \lambda)x)\| \\ &\leq \|T(\lambda + h)\|_{L(X)} \|T(t - \lambda)x - T(t - \lambda)x_i\| \\ &+ \|T(t + h)x_i - T(t)x_i\| + \|T(\lambda)\|_{L(X)} \\ &\|T(t - \lambda)x_i - T(t - \lambda)x\| \leq 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in B(0, 1)$ , and each  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|h| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ . Consequently

$$\|T(t + h) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq 3(\epsilon)$$

for each  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|h| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$ , which proves (i).

To prove (ii), let us recall that for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ , we have

$$(\lambda I - A)^{-1}x = R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda}T(s)x ds.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and define  $R_\epsilon(\lambda; A) : X \rightarrow X$  by  $R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\lambda}T(s)x ds$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . We shall show that  $R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)$  is a compact operator and also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R(\lambda; A) - R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)\|_{L(X)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)x &= T(\epsilon) \int_\epsilon^\infty e^{-s\lambda}T(s-\epsilon)x ds \\ &= e^{-\lambda\epsilon}T(\epsilon) \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau\lambda}T(\tau)x d\tau \\ &= e^{-\lambda\epsilon}T(\epsilon)R(\lambda; A)x \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . Since  $R(\lambda; A)$  is linearly continuous and  $e^{-\lambda\epsilon}T(\epsilon)$  is compact, it follows that  $R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)$  is compact. On the other hand,

$$\|R(\lambda; A) - R_\epsilon(\lambda; A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \int_0^\epsilon e^{\lambda t} \|T(t)\|_{L(X)} dt \leq \frac{1-e}{-\lambda\epsilon} \lambda$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$  which proves (ii). It follows that  $R(\lambda; A)$  is compact and sufficiency, let  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  be  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions satisfying (i) and (ii). For  $t > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we define  $T_\lambda(t) : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$T_\lambda(t)x = \lambda R(\lambda; A)T(t)x$$

for each  $x \in X$  and  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ . We want to prove that  $T_\lambda(t)$ , which obviously is compact, satisfies

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|T_\lambda(t) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} = 0 \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda(t) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} &= \left\| \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau} (T(t+\tau) - T(t)) d\tau \right\|_{L(X)} \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau} \|T(t+\tau) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since the semigroup  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  is equicontinuous for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that, for each  $\tau \in (0, \delta)$ , we have

$$\|T(t+\tau) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq \epsilon. \quad (14)$$

From Eq. (13) and Eq. (14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda(t) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} &\leq \lambda \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda\tau} \|T(t+\tau) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} d\tau \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_\delta^\infty e^{-\lambda\tau} (\|T(t+\tau)\|_{L(X)} + \|T(t)\|_{L(X)}) d\tau \\ &\leq (1 - e^{-\lambda\delta})\epsilon + 2e^{-\lambda\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Moving to the sup-limit for  $\lambda$  tending to  $+\infty$  in Eq. (15), we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup \|T_\lambda(t) - T(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq \epsilon$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and this relation implies Eq. (12) which ensures the compactness of the semigroup  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ . Hence the proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 4:** Let  $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  be the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  for which there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $R(\lambda; A)$  is compact, then  $X$  is separable. In particular, if the semigroup generated by  $A$  is compact where  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$ , then  $X$  is separable.

*Proof:* Let us observe that, by virtue of the resolvent equation (see Rauf *et.al.*), we deduce that for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $R(\lambda; A)$  is compact. Let  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be sequence of numbers, strictly decreasing to 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  be arbitrary, provided  $R(\lambda_n; A)$  is compact, then there exists a finite family  $D_n$  in  $B(0, n)$  such that for each  $x \in B(0, n)$ , there exists  $x_n \in D_n$  with

$$\|\lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x - \lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x_n\| \leq \lambda_n. \quad (16)$$

Let  $x \in X$ ,  $A \in \omega\text{-ORCP}_n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , so that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_n \leq \epsilon \\ \|x\| \leq n \\ \|\lambda_n R(\lambda; A)x - x\| \leq \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Taking  $x_n \in D_n$  satisfying Eq. (16), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x_n\| &\leq \|x - \lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x\| \\ &\quad + \|\lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x - \lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)x_n\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Inequality Eq. (17) shows that the set  $D = \cup_n \lambda_n R(\lambda_n; A)D_n$  is dense in  $X$ . Since this set is a countable union of finite sets, then it is countable too, and therefore  $X$  is separable. Finally, if the semigroup generated by  $A$  is compact, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $R(\lambda; A)$  is compact, which complete the proof. ■

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have been able to established that  $\omega$ -Order reversing partial contraction mapping possesses some characteristics of compact semigroup of linear operator by considering its closeness, linear and equicontinuous nature.

## References

- [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, "Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroup generated by them," *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 419–437, 1960.
- [2] S. Banach, "Sur les operation dam les eusembles abstracts et leur application aus equation integrals," *Fund. Math.*, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.

- [3] R. N. K. Engel, *One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Equation*, vol. 194. New York: Springer Science & Business Media, 1999. Graduate Texts in Mathematics.
- [4] A. C. McBride, *Semigroup of Linear Operators: an Introduction*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 156. Longman Scientific and Technical, 1987.
- [5] A. Pazy, "On the differentiability and compactness of semigroup of linear operator," *J. Math and Mech.*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 131–114, 1968.
- [6] K. Rauf and A. Y. Akinyele, "Properties of  $\omega$ -order-preserving partial contraction mapping and its relation to  $c_0$ -semigroup," *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 61–68, 2019.
- [7] K. Rauf, A. Akinyele, M. Etuk, R. Zubair, and M. Aasa, "Some result of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator," *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 09, pp. 43–51, 01 2019.
- [8] I. I. Vrabie, "Compactness criterion in  $c(0, t; x)$  for subsets of solution of non-linear evolution equations governed by accretive operators," *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ.*, vol. 45, pp. 149–157, 1985.
- [9] I. I. Vrabie, "New characterization of generators of linear compact semigroups," *An. Stiint. Univ. AL. L. Cuza Iasi Sect. La .Mat.*, vol. 35, pp. 145–151, 1989.
- [10] I. I. Vrabie, *Compactness Method For Nonlinear Evolutions*, vol. 75 of *Mathematics Studies*. Addison Wesley And Longman, 2th ed., 1995. Pitman Monographs And Surveys In Pure And Applied Mathematics.
- [11] I. I. Vrabie, "Compactness in  $l^p$  of the set of solutions to a nonlinear evolution equation, qualitative problems for differential equations and control theory," *Corduneanu, C. Editor, World Scientific.*, pp. 91–101, 1995.
- [12] I. I. Vrabie,  *$C_0$ -Semigroup And Application*, vol. 191. North-Holland Mathematics Studies: Elsevier, 2th ed., 2003.
- [13] K. Yosida, "On the differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroups of linear operators," *J. Math. Soc.*, vol. 1, pp. 15–21, 1984.